

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
<p>The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Services and Communications Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.</p> <p><b>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ORGANIZATION.</b></p>					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 02-16-2011		2. REPORT TYPE Conference Proceeding		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Transitions Towards Operational Space-based Observations: From Single Research Missions Into Series and Constellations				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 0602435N	
6. AUTHOR(S) Hans Bonekamp, Francois Parisot, Stan Wilson, Laury Miller, Craig Donlon, Mark Drinkwater, Eric Lindstrom, Lee Fu, Eric Thouvenot, Juliette Lambin, Keizp Makagawa, B.S. Gohil, Mingsen Lin, James Yoder, Pierre-Yves le Traon and Gregg Jacobs				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER 73-8677-B8-5	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Research Laboratory Oceanography Division Stennis Space Center, MS 39529-5004				B. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER NRL/PP/7320--09-9370	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy St. Arlington, VA 22217-5660				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) ONR	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT This paper discussed aspects of the development of operational satellite programmes for oceanography. In particular, it explains transitioning of key ocean missions from research to a sustained operational context. Successes over the last decade are highlighted as well as challenges for the decades to come.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS sea surface temperature, ocean surface salinity					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT  UL	18. NUMBER OF PAGES  11	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Gregg Jacobs
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 228-688-4720

# TRANSITIONS TOWARDS OPERATIONAL SPACE-BASED OCEAN OBSERVATIONS: FROM SINGLE RESEARCH MISSIONS INTO SERIES AND CONSTELLATIONS

Hans Bonekamp<sup>(1)</sup>, Francois Parisot<sup>(1)</sup>, Stan Wilson<sup>(2)</sup>, Laury Miller<sup>(2)</sup>, Craig Donlon<sup>(3)</sup>, Mark Drinkwater<sup>(3)</sup>, Eric Lindstrom<sup>(4)</sup>, Lee Fu<sup>(5)</sup>, Eric Thouvenot<sup>(6)</sup>, Juliette Lambin<sup>(6)</sup>, Keizo Nakagawa<sup>(7)</sup>, B.S. Gohil<sup>(8)</sup>, Mingsen Lin<sup>(9)</sup>, James Yoder<sup>(10)</sup>, Pierre-Yves le Traon<sup>(11)</sup>, Gregg Jacobs<sup>(12)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> EUMETSAT, EUMETSAT-Allee 1, 64295 Darmstadt, Germany,

Email: [hans.bonekamp@eumetsat.int](mailto:hans.bonekamp@eumetsat.int), [francois.parisot@eumetsat.int](mailto:francois.parisot@eumetsat.int)

<sup>(2)</sup> NOAA/NESDIS, 1335 East West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910, U.S.A.,

Email: [stan.wilson@noaa.gov](mailto:stan.wilson@noaa.gov), [laury.miller@noaa.gov](mailto:laury.miller@noaa.gov)

<sup>(3)</sup> ESA/ESTEC, Keplerlaan 1, NL-2201 AZ Noordwijk, The Netherlands,

Email: [craig.donlon@esa.int](mailto:craig.donlon@esa.int), [mark.drinkwater@esa.int](mailto:mark.drinkwater@esa.int)

<sup>(4)</sup> NASA HQ, MS 3F71, 300 E St. SW, Washington, DC 20546, U.S.A.,

Email: [eric.j.lindstrom@nasa.gov](mailto:eric.j.lindstrom@nasa.gov)

<sup>(5)</sup> NASA JPL, MS 300-323, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109, U.S.A.,

Email: [llf@jpl.nasa.gov](mailto:llf@jpl.nasa.gov)

<sup>(6)</sup> CNES/TSC, 18 avenue Edouard Belin, 31401 France,

Email: [eric.thouvenot@cnes.fr](mailto:eric.thouvenot@cnes.fr), [juliette.lambin@cnes.fr](mailto:juliette.lambin@cnes.fr)

<sup>(7)</sup> SAMD, JAXA, 2-1-1, Sengen, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki, Japan,

Email: [keizo.nakagawa@jaxa.jp](mailto:keizo.nakagawa@jaxa.jp)

<sup>(8)</sup> Meteorology & Oceanography Group, ISRO, Bopal Campus, Ahmedabad-380015,

Email: [bsgohil@sac.isro.gov.in](mailto:bsgohil@sac.isro.gov.in)

<sup>(9)</sup> NMEFC/SOA, 8 Dahuisi Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100081, China,

Email: [mslin@mail.nsoas.gov.cn](mailto:mslin@mail.nsoas.gov.cn)

<sup>(10)</sup> Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02540 USA

Email: [jyoder@whoi.edu](mailto:jyoder@whoi.edu)

<sup>(11)</sup> IFREMER Centre de Brest B.P. 70 29280, Plouzané France,

Email: [pierre.yves.le.traon@ifremer.fr](mailto:pierre.yves.le.traon@ifremer.fr)

<sup>(12)</sup> NRL 7320, Stennis Space Center, MS 39529, U.S.A.,

Email: [gregg.jacobs@nrlssc.navy.mil](mailto:gregg.jacobs@nrlssc.navy.mil)

## ABSTRACT

This paper discusses aspects of the development of operational satellite programmes for oceanography. In particular, it explains transitioning of key ocean missions from research to a sustained operational context. Successes over the last decade are highlighted as well as challenges for the decades to come.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Most experimental space missions and technologies are not solely developed for the sake of their technological and scientific challenge, but to realise a long-term return on the research and development investments. The missions are required to demonstrate their value for direct operational use or in assimilation with operational models. When successful in these aspects, their operational usefulness may become the strongest driver behind follow-on missions and programmatically the mission, or rather the sequence of missions, has to make a transition to become a component in a wider

operational structure and planning. This transition brings about new challenges and constraints on the mission programmatic procurements, the mission operations and the exploitation of the data.

As presented in Table 1, we can identify major differences between a typical operational mission and typical research mission. Existing or planned future operational and research missions do however not necessarily follow this idealised picture. For example, AATSR mission on ENVISAT, QuikSCAT or Jason-1 are all research missions which are or have been fully exploited for operational purposes. In addition, there are several examples of experimental missions flying on operational platforms.

Transitioning space missions from the research into an operational context one is not a straightforward task or process. Program development and program scheduling have to deal with major issues like, affordability, variability in actual mission life times, political context, and evolving and sometimes contradicting user

20110224058



requirements. These factors tend to make the distinction between operational and research missions less relevant in a reality also driven by pragmatism.

*Table 1. Major differences between an operational and a research mission.*

	<b>Operational Mission</b>	<b>Research Mission</b>
<b>Key Drivers</b>	Social benefits, user and service requirements	Scientific objectives, technological challenges and social benefits.
<b>Funding</b>	Operational agencies and operational institutions (NOAA, EUMETSAT,...)	Research & Development agencies (NASA, ESA, ..)
<b>Key data users</b>	Forecasters, advisory entities	Scientists, research agencies and laboratories
<b>Availability</b>	Guaranteed, Monitored	Best Effort
<b>Dissemination</b>	Operational Networks	FTP and internet, to research agencies, scientists and Principal Investigator consortia.
<b>Support</b>	Pro-active, 24/7	Pro active in many cases but rarely 24/7
<b>Sustainability</b>	Continuous	Incremental

At the OceanObs Conference in St. Raphael 1999, it was already noted that the research and development space agencies made great progress in setting up a space-born observing capability for the global oceans and, incrementally, the transition towards an enhanced operational space component of the Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS) had already started, see e.g., Ratier [1]. Over the last decade, the fast development of operational oceanography (Ocean Weather Prediction) guided by the Global Ocean Data Assimilation Experiment (GODAE) [2], and related projects such as done by the Group for High Resolution SST (GHRSSST) [3]), formed a strong impetus to further transition ocean remote sensing missions into sustained sequences of operational missions. Later in this paper, we will point out several mission examples of this process. In parallel, and similar to practices in the Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) community supporting operational model and data services for decades, oceanographic user consultancy and data usage activities were organised to ensure that the user requirements critical for operations were reported to guide space agency program development.

Additional stimuli for transition into operations and sustainability came from the NWP community, enhancing its services in marine meteorology and setting up operating coupled ocean-atmosphere models for the longer term and seasonal weather predictions. The Global Climate Observing System (GCOS)

emphasised furthermore the increased need of long term access to data and information of the ocean component of the earth's climate system. Climate applications express in particular a challenging demand for (inter-) calibration, stability characterisation, and reprocessing of the mission data, which include all operational and research satellite missions. This implies continued active involvement of research and development oriented space agencies also to re-assess their ongoing or completed mission. An example in this respect is the new ESA Climate Change Initiative that will re-process ESA satellite archives together with other satellite data in support of GCOS Essential Climate Variable requirements [4].

Table 2 lists the key OceanObs 2009 Community White Papers (CWP's) which address in full detail the operational and climate applications and their requirements on the observational infrastructure. From a high level global perspective these requirements and their development activities fall under the first version of the Global Earth Observation System of Systems (GEOSS) that will provide decision-support tools to very wide variety of users (see for more details, <http://earthobservations.org/geoss.shtml>). It has to be strongly emphasised, as expressed among others in these CWP's, that the full potential of the space-based observing capability can only be realised in a synergetic context of an integrated system including the in-situ elements.

Table 2. Ocean Obs 2009 Community White Papers addressing requirements for the operational applications in oceanography.

Operational Applications	Status of applications	Community White or Plenary Paper
Operational Oceanography	Emerging, maturing	Godae OceanView [5] Le Traon et al. [6]
Numerical Weather Prediction, Seasonal forecasting and Decadal Forecasts	Expanding, enhancing	Brassington et al. [7] Eyre et al. [8] Balmaseda et al. [9] Hurrell et al. [10]
Climate ocean state estimation, surface flux estimation, climate monitoring.	Emerging, critical	Fairall et al. [11] Gulev et al. [12] Lee et al. [13] Nerem et al. [14]

The strong momentum gained through the increased operational demand for ocean missions does not imply an easy agreement among agencies and institutions and straightforward transitioning paths. The procurement of series of operational missions demands special care in the early planning and development phases. In parallel, forming a conflicting interest, space agencies are continuously requested to support the development of new observation techniques for both existing and new geophysical variables. In fact, key issues as already expressed during OceanObs 1999 remain in the core of space agency program development today and may have even become more complex with the various concurrent application goals set. Particular issues to quote from Ratier's [1] Ocean Obs 1999 contribution are:

1) *Operational Oceanography may well fall between two stools, if development and operational agencies do not balance their respective investments, or if they compete for the same resources or fail to agree on appropriate transition scenarios.*

2) *Planning will also continue to require international co-operation and co-ordination, e.g. in the framework of the Integrated Global Observing Strategy promoted by CEOS [Committee on Earth Observation Satellites], in order to avoid major duplication of efforts, whilst preserving the minimum redundancy required by operational services.*

The implementation of the Integrated Global Observing Strategy has been transferred into the implementation of the GEOSS as coordinated by the Group for Earth Observations (GEO).

Table 3. Ocean Obs 2009 Community White Papers addressing space based operational observing capabilities for key oceanographic variables.

Oceanographic Variable	Community White Paper
Sea Surface Temperature	Donlon et al. [15]
Ocean Surface Topography	Wilson et al. [16]
Ocean Vector Winds	Bourassa et al. [17]
Ocean Colour	Yoder et al. [18]
Ocean Salinity	Lagerloef et al. [19]
Sea Ice	Breivik et al. [20]
Sea State and Storm Surge	Swail et al. 2009 [21]

In this paper, we assess the progress of transition towards sustainable and permanent operational satellite systems for key oceanographic variables that can be observed from space. Clearly, it is not possible to address all the individual mission successes, achievements and complications in detail. Table 3 refers to several underlying OceanObs 2009 Community White Papers and Plenary Papers (PP's) discussing in detail mission developments and requirements from the perspective of the communities featuring these key observables. Here, we take an overall programmatic and a life cycle management perspective on mission procurement and development to highlight advances in subsequent stages of innovation (see section 2).

At the final GODAE symposium in Nice 2008, Wilson et al. [22] already recognised that for key variables the progress was considerable over the last decade. But there are also challenges to address. By comparing the communities and processing chains of the key observables not only in terms of numbered and scheduled existing, approved, or proposed missions, but also in terms of international orientation and integration, cross mission optimisation, maturity of data product design, awareness, and dissemination, the parallels between and differences among these key observables may help to conclude on the efficacy of applied transition approaches and may help to make sensible recommendations for the future. In any case, from an operational perspective it is undesirable if a specific key variable would fall dramatically behind the others, because the applications need the constraining effect of the physical relations between these key variables.

From the climate perspective, the key oceanographic variables are listed as Essential Climate Variables (ECV's) in the Global Climate Observing System Implementation Plan issued by the World Meteorological Organization [4]. This implementation plan imposes guidelines on the procurement of the satellite missions and product data setting the scene for further co-operation among the various communities and institutions.



Finally, it is important to mention that official positions of the engaged space agencies or institutions are not necessarily expressed in this paper. The objective is to indicate where a broader consensus within communities has been found or can be explored in the near future.

## 2. ACTUAL TRANSITIONS OF OCEAN MISSIONS

Summarizing and re-sorting the input from the OceanObs 2009 CWP's and PP's as referenced in Table 3 we can re-list the identified ocean missions or space-born instruments for the key oceanographic variables in three subsequent phases of innovation. The result is provided in Table 4. For details on the missions or instruments indicated by the various acronyms the reader is referred to the CEOS handbook [23], <http://www.ceohandbook.com>.

The three subsequent phases of innovation (columns of Table 4) are: 1) Development and demonstration, 2) Sustainability and continuation, 3) Demonstration of new capabilities. The first phase could perhaps also be called first capability development and has been a major topic at OceanObs 1999. It defines what would work and what would not or only conditionally or partially. Currently at the OceanObs 2009 Conference the second phase is in the centre of attention. New capabilities are addressed in the Plenary Papers by Lindstrom et al. [24] and Drinkwater et al. [25]. Ideally, in terms of funding and close to what is often the practice for atmospheric missions, the research and development agencies after having funded the first phase would step back to leave the responsibility for the second phase to the operational agencies to concentrate on the third phase which is demonstration of new capabilities, completing the circle of product innovation. It has to be remarked that the development of new capabilities like SWOT and XOVWM maybe become very expensive compared to alternative constellation approaches. To explore the benefits of various sharing communities as is currently ongoing will help, but it is doubtful whether the impetus for such missions can come solely from user needs. Dedication from the research agencies is asked for.

As said in the introduction sustained observations with demonstrated technology are the requirement for operations and climate assessment. The endeavour involves all nations. Besides a continuation of research missions, we clearly identify in Table 4 missions from a broad spectrum of nations and some type of mission have become a commodity. This globalisation has definitely advantages in terms of meeting temporal and spatial requirements provided that timely open access to

data is guaranteed and provided that the missions are complementary in terms of phasing.

The key question of this section translates to: How well are we today entered the second column of Table 4? How far did we transition into operational satellite oceanography? We discuss the progress for the key variables individually.

### 2.1. Sea Surface Temperature

For SST the picture is bright. Series of missions are approved or planned for, funded or at least supported by operational agencies both in geostationary orbits and polar orbiting satellites. Operational SST observing systems have been dominantly justified by the meteorological requirements, but these are aligned with those of the oceanographic community. Here, we focus on the Low Earth Orbiting missions. To mention are the AVHRR missions in the context of the (Initial) Joint Polar System, the cooperation between NOAA and EUMETSAT for the operational NPP NPOESS, EPS and Post EPS programs. The accurate ATSR and AATSR missions find their continuation in SLSTR missions on Sentinel 3 series. The development and funding of Sentinel-3 is lead by ESA in the context of the GMES but it is agreed that EUMETSAT will operate the series. Donlon et al [15] expressed also the need to secure the continuation of microwave SST retrievals (e. g. GCOM-W series) and to consider flying an SLSTR instrument in an asynchronous orbit to act as a long term calibration reference for other SST sensors.

### 2.2. Ocean Dynamic Topography

For dynamic surface topography the picture is also bright. The Jason series is prolonged with constituting funding from the operational agencies NOAA and EUMETSAT for the Jason-3 mission. Moreover, concept definition and agency cooperation have started for a series of missions beyond Jason-3. In the context of this paper it is important to note that Jason-2 constitutes a pivoting mission in the transition. The Jason-2 program encompasses both two research (NASA, CNES) and two operational agencies (NOAA and EUMETSAT) to ensure on the one hand an operational procurement and on the other hand a continuation of the research involved. After the Jason-3 mission an orbit optimisation is foreseen to ensure that the future constellation (see also section 3) of nadir altimeters is the best compromise from a multi-mission perspective. Equally important, series of Chinese and Indian altimeter missions have been approved and agency cooperation agreements are updated to allow global utilisation.

Table 4. Ocean missions or space-borne instruments for key oceanographic variables placed in subsequent phases of development and innovation. The mentioned missions or instruments are operational, approved or proposed.

	Development & Demonstation	Sustainability and continuation (Series of satellites)	Demonstration of new capabilities
Sea Surface Temperature (1)	METEOSAT, GOES AVHRR (TIROS), AVHRR (NOAA-7...)  ATSR (ERS), AATSR (ENVISAT)  MODIS AMSR-E TRMM TMI	MSG SEVIRI, GOES imager AVHRR/3 (METOP A, B, C) AVHRR /3 (NOAA, 15, 16, 17, 18, N')  SLTSR (Sentinel 3A, 3B, ...)  VIIRS (NPP, NPOESS-C1, C2, C3) AMSR-2 (GCOM-W1, W2, W3)	ESA MicroWat concept
Ocean Surface Topography	Topex/Poseidon, Jason-1  RA (ERS), RA2 (ENVISAT)  GEOSAT, GFO	Jason-2, Jason-3, Jason-CS  SRAL (Sentinel 3A, 3B, ...) SARAL/AltiKa GFO2 HY 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D	SWOT  IRAC
Ocean Vector Winds	ScaWinds (QUIKSCAT, Adcos)  ERS	Dual Frequency Scatterometer 1, 2 (GCOM-W2, W3)  ASCAT (METOP A, B, C), scatterometer on POST-EPS  Scatterometer on OCEANSAT-2, 3 Scaterometer on HY-2A Scatterometer on Meteor 3	XOVWM CFOSAT  ESA MicroWat concept
Ocean Colour	CZCS, SeaWiFS MODIS  MERIS (ENVISAT)  OCTS, GLI COCTS (HY1)	VIIRS (NPP, NPOESS-C1,C2,C3)  OLCI (Sentinel 3A, 3B, ...)  SGLI on GCOM-C OCS on OCEANSAT-2, 3	COMS1-GOCI ACE GEO-CAPE Geo-Oculus
Ocean Salinity	SMOS Aquarius		SMOS-NEXT SMAP
Sea Ice	SSMR SSM/I ERS/ENVISAT SAR RADARSAT PALSAR  Radiometers, see also Sea Surface Temperature Altimeters, see also Ocean Surface Topography Scatterometers, see also Ocean Surface Winds	MIS (NPOESS-C1,C2) Sentinel-1A, ... RADARSAT2	ICESAT (-2), CryoSat-2



### 2.3. Ocean Surface Winds

For Ocean wind the situation is bright in the sense that operational and approved continuation of C-band scatterometry is provided by ASCAT on METOP A,B and C and a follow-on scatterometer mission has the highest priority the Post-EPS framework. ISRO has successfully launched its Oceansat-2 satellite on 23 September 2009, carrying a Ku band pencil beam scatterometer. Oceansat-3 will follow. Chinese and Russian scatterometer missions are planned for the near future, and also passive techniques for surface wind information are continued, (not listed in Table 4) Passive microwave imagers and altimeters provide information on wind speed only.

The very successful Seawinds mission on QuikSCAT, formed the the backbone mission for the Ocean Surface winds community over the last decade. It unfortunately stopped its operational services in November 2009. As its follow-up, the US and Japan are proposing a combined Ku and C band scatterometer mission to fly on the GCOM-W series.

### 2.4. Ocean Colour

Since the 1990s, a variety of missions (OCTS, SEAWIFS, MODIS, MERIS, COCTS, GLI) have demonstrated that geophysical variables such as chlorophyll could be inferred from top of the atmosphere radiances with the needed stability and continuity. The data of these missions already have found their way in operational use, hence 'Ocean Colour' is moving into be an essential part of operational space oceanography. These missions have their follow-on on ocean dedicated series of satellites such as the OCLI on Sentinel-3, or OCS on OceanSat.

### 2.5. Ocean Surface Salinity

Sea surface salinity is emerging as a new research product from satellite measurements of ocean brightness temperature at L-band (microwave) frequencies. As indicated by Table 4, Ocean Surface Salinity is not really in the phase of sustainability or continuation. Missions like SMOS and Aquarius still have to demonstrate that they meet the set expectations and successful application model data integrations have to be shown. ESA launched SMOS on 2 November 2009 to demonstrate an interferometric measurement of the sea surface salinity. NASA/CONAE will fly Aquarius/SAC-D in 2010 to demonstrate measurement of the sea surface salinity ECV.

### 2.6. Sea Ice

Sea ice Observation follows to a large extent the developments of the missions dedicated to the other observables. Besides AMSR-E, the SMMR and SSM/I missions have formed the basis of sea ice concentration monitoring over last decades (see Breivik et al. [20]). As for SST it is importance to secure the operational passive microwave imaging to continue the long global sea ice data record for climate monitoring. Sea ice drift applications depend strongly on the availability of scatterometer data which is in first instance determined by the needs for ocean vector winds as discussed in section 2.3. Likewise, sea ice thickness depends on altimetry, see section 2.2. New capabilities for Sea Ice Thickness are underway with, e.g., the ICESAT and Cryosat-2 missions. The community expressed that the access to SAR data for operational sea ice monitoring should be further developed and improved over the next decade. With, for example, the Sentinel-1 mission as part of the GMES space segment this is in progress.

## 3. INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AND COORDINATION

As expressed in the second quote from Ratier [1] (see section 1) transition into sustainability and continuation requires an orientation towards international cooperation and coordination. These can take place either by formulating joint programs or more modestly on a best effort basis recognizing that there may be constraints on how wide the scope for coordination and cooperation can be. One can observe an enhanced intercontinental cooperation and coordination. One can also observe different type of cooperation and coordination. For example one can break them down in programmatic, scientific or operational. Here, we here distinguish: 1) Constellation thinking (either or not in the framework of CEOS), 2) creating International Science Teams, 3) Operational Cooperation.

Firstly, in the last years in the context of CEOS contributions for setting up the GEOSS, several virtual constellations are set up to enhance programmatic coordination. CEOS virtual constellations from basis for a process aimed at addressing inter-comparability of different missions, integrated usage and data format and distribution harmonisation. Constellation thinking follows naturally from needs for continuation and operational robustness based on proven technology.

Secondly, with a much longer track record, ocean missions both research and operational, are supported by science teams which are naturally joining up in the general international orientation of science. Thirdly, especially when co-operation agreements between agencies are in place, operational work may be shared in terms of facilities, resources and alignment of back-up processing. This kind of cooperation further enhances

the robustness of the operational observing system. Key examples are the EUMETSAT-NOAA Initial Joint Polar System cooperation (IJPS) and the Jason-2 mission.

It has to be highlighted that there is a lot of interplay between the three mentioned kinds of cooperation: In terms of people, as scientist may function in operational ground segments, application institutions, or as agency

program officers, but also in terms of tasks, as programmatic contexts identify key operational or scientific issues to be addressed.

Along these lines we again assess the key variables of ocean missions based, e.g., on the detailed input provided by OceanObs 2009 CWP's an PP's as listed in Table 3. The outcome is summarised in Table 5.

*Table 5. Ocean mission placed in context of international cooperation with respect to specific aspects of mission planning, science agenda and data product development and dissemination*

	(CEOS) Constellation	International Science Team	Operational Cooperation
<b>Sea Surface Temperature</b>	Group for High resolution Sea Surface Temperature (GHR SST) (Coordination GHR SST-CEOS now emerging.)	GHR SST	GHR SST  Mature (including EUMETSAT, NOAA, ESA, NASA, EU-GMES, JAXA with emerging contributions from Korea, China and Russia)
<b>Ocean Surface Topography</b>	Ocean Surface Topography Virtual constellation (OST VC)	Ocean Surface Topography Science Team (OST ST)	Mature.
<b>Ocean Vector Winds</b>	Ocean Vector Wind Virtual Constellation (OVW VC)	International Ocean Vector Wind Science Team (formed in 2010).	In place as part of the Initial Joint Polar System
<b>Ocean Colour</b>	OCR VC (IOCCG)	IOCCG	Under development
<b>Ocean Salinity</b>	Under discussion	-	-
<b>Sea Ice</b>	-	(PolarView, DAMOCLES, CliC)	PolarView, DAMOCLES

### 3.1. Sea Surface Temperature

International operational and scientific cooperation formed the core of GHR SST activities that use data from ENVISAT AATSR, NOAA AVHRR, MSG SEVIRI, GOES, MT-SAT, TRMM-TMI, and EoS AQUA AMSRE and MODIS. GHR SST conducted scientific research and developed a Regional/global task sharing framework (R/GTS) in which over 15 Gb of satellite SST data are shared each day involving ESA, NOAA, NASA, EUMETSAT and other agencies. An advanced data management framework including search and discovery metadata repositories for all data holdings and long term stewardship of all products has been implemented and operates in a sustained NRT mode [15]. Many operational users at National Meteorological and Hydrological Services (NMHS's) make full use of GHR SST data products and services through the R/GTS system. GHR SST seamlessly provides access to both research and operational data products in NRT, using a common open-standard data format supported by operational data centres so that users are freed from the

burden of maintaining complex ingest code libraries. GHR SST data providers also deliver an uncertainty estimate with every SST measurement within every product. The formation of a CEOS Virtual Constellation of SST is under discussion to embed the GHR SST activities in CEOS contributions for the GEOSS. The primary role of the SST-VC will be to assist GHR SST in maintaining the GHR SST Data Processing Specifications (GDS) used by contributing Agencies, to assist CEOS members in becoming part of the GHR SST activity and to help the GHR SST R/GTS implementation become more efficient.

### 3.2. Ocean Dynamic Topography

The joint NOAA/NASA/EUMETSAT/CNES Ocean Surface Topography Science Team (OST ST) supports basic research and investigations associated with joint satellite altimetry missions, including TOPEX/Poseidon (T/P), Jason-1, Jason-2 and other ocean altimetry missions. The objective of the OST-ST, which is a



continuation of the T/P, Jason-1 science teams, are to 1) provide the scientific underpinning for production of the

water surface topography data 2) to demonstrate the Earth science and applications based on analyses and use of these data and 3) to evaluate operational use of ocean and continental surface topography data.

The objective of the Ocean Surface Topography Virtual Constellation (OST VC) is to implement a sustained, systematic capability to observe the surface topography of the global oceans for the coming decades. Already in 1992, the so-called "Purple Book" by Koblinsky et al [26] established the concept of a space-based ocean circulation observing system including a high-altitude, low-inclination mission carrying a high-precision altimeter package (the reference mission) on a non-sun-synchronous, repeat orbit, for the determination of large-scale ocean currents, complemented polar-orbiting missions carrying precision altimeters and additional capabilities (e.g. GMES Sentinel-3 operating in a SAR mode) providing an extended temporal and spatial sampling providing information on the mesoscale eddies. A prolongation of this approach was subscribed in the Venice meeting and a dedicated OST VC meeting in Assmannshausen 2008. A user requirement document for the constellation has been set up to support shaping the future along these lines [27].

On the operational cooperation level, Jason-2 is a nice example of an enhanced operational cooperation where NOAA and EUMETSAT provide the NRT data products and CNES and NASA the 'offline' (delayed, higher precision) ones.

### 3.3. Ocean Surface Winds

Several science teams and groups are in place to support the missions. The key example is NASA Ocean Vector Wind Science Team in support of the SeaWinds missions. An International Ocean Vector Wind Science Team is currently being established joining these sciences teams to enhance international collaboration and to aid in the calibration and validation of new missions. Bourrassa et al. [17] stressed that the set spatial and temporal sampling goals cannot be achieved with a single satellite in low earth orbit. Freely shared, easy accessible data and constellation thinking are warranted and the OVW Virtual Constellation has been agreed by the CEOS to take care. The OVW VC has currently a focus on improvements in marine warnings and forecasts over the global oceans to the protection of lives and property both on the high seas and along coastal areas. The OVW VC also considers significant wave height from satellite altimetry, already being provided by the Ocean Surface Topography

best possible satellite-derived ocean and continental

Constellation (see section 3.2), to facilitate easy access to NRT products highly relevant for marine safety.

A strong transatlantic operational cooperation is implemented in the (I)JPS of NOAA and EUMETSAT sharing available processors and cooperating on training and capability building.

### 3.4. Ocean Colour

The International Ocean-Colour Coordinating Group (IOCCG) was established in 1996 as an international Committee of experts to develop global consensus and synthesis concerning satellite ocean colour. In due course, specialised scientific working groups are set up to investigate various aspects of ocean-colour technology. The IOCCG plays also a strong role in capacity building and supports advanced training courses on applications of ocean-colour data in various countries. In 2008, the CEOS virtual constellation for ocean colour radiances (OCR-VC) has been established to further embed the work of the IOCCG within the CEOS programmatic cooperations. A particular purpose of the OCR-VC is to ensure a long-term, sustained record of calibrated measurements from multiple satellites that can be merged together to improve global ocean spatial coverage and to produce time series for coastal and open ocean waters of scientific data products related to marine ecosystems and ocean biogeochemistry.

### 3.5. Ocean Surface Salinity

There are science teams in place for missions like SMOS and Aquarius, but apart from some common members they currently formally unconnected. A CEOS Virtual Constellation for surface salinity is under discussion.

### 3.6. Sea Ice

Promotion of satellite sea ice product utilisation is basically done in user-producers programs like PolarView, [www.polarview.org](http://www.polarview.org), or DAMOCLES, [www.damocles-eu.org](http://www.damocles-eu.org). These programs do also foster a lot of science cooperation. The CliC project has been established to stimulate, support, and coordinate research into the climate system aspects of the cryosphere as a whole. CliC is sponsored by the World Climate Research Programme, the Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research (SCAR) and the International Arctic Science Committee. Currently, there is neither a

dedicated CEOS constellation regarding sea ice nor a dedicated multi-mission science team.

#### 4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have assessed the progress of transition towards sustainable and permanent operational satellite systems for key oceanographic variables that can be observed from space. We can restate the GODAE final symposium conclusion of Wilson et al. [22] that an impressive progress made over the last decade: Sea Surface Temperature and Ocean Surface Topography demonstrate communities which are well integrated into the wider operational infrastructure. The challenge is to keep up the good work done and the work in progress. The same is the case for Ocean Vector Winds, but here an enhanced international scientific cooperation and coordination will help to advance in operational oceanography aspects which then will bring an enhanced global utilisation.

The Ocean Colour community has several components in place to quickly follow up in transitioning. Several follow-on series of missions in a more operational setting are approved. Open data access is however still an issue for some. Access to raw data and calibration information is needed to accurately merge imagery from multiple missions to investigate long-term changes to ocean ecosystems and other impacts of human activities.

Ocean Salinity is a new community in satellite oceanography and therefore trailing with respect to transitioning into operations. It may catch up in the decade to come or if needed roughly in the decade hereafter.

In all the chains, the climate impetus will ask for continued support of the research agencies and climate research community to revisit and reprocess the operational series and their research predecessors.

Based on a qualitative assessment of Tables 4 and 5 one could argue that indeed operational maturity is most advanced in those chains where the international cooperation and coordination is the most intense. It must however also be accepted that activities leading to new and better systems are successful when there is a genuine user need for better capability that has not been met by existing operational coordination mechanisms. The GHRST product services grew 'bottom up' but are now used by many operational agencies.

With more nations arriving at the international arena and the ongoing demand for higher temporal and spatial resolution, it would be unwise to dismiss the paths of cooperation. CEOS is a framework that works on a best effort basis but has a strong link to the overarching framework of the GEOSS. The CEOS virtual constellations are young, but early successes

demonstrate that the synergetic advantages can clearly outweigh the bureaucratic burden involved. Constellations for the key ocean variables have to stay in close interplay with their science team counterparts and operational teams and need to keep focus on tasks that bring the communities forward. The CEOS constellation may serve as vehicles for cross community exchange and learning but should be specific and not overlap, duplicate or replace the role and activities of existing or new science teams.

Last but not least, perpetual user consultation and data usage support activities are essential, to keep the records of the requirements on the observing capability up to date, and to reach a full utilisation and return of the operational infrastructure for now and the future.

#### 5. REFERENCES

1. Ratier, A. "Space-based observations in the Global Ocean Observing System: the operational transition issue", White Paper, OceanObs'99, San Raphael, France, 1999.
2. Bell, M. and Le Traon, P.-Y. (eds), Special Issue on the Revolution of Global Ocean Forecasting—GODAE: 10 Years of Achievement, *Oceanography* 22 (3), 14-225, 2009.
3. Donlon, C., Robinson, I., Casey, K., Vazquez, J., Armstrong, E., Arino, O., Gentemann, C., May, D., Le Borgne, P., Piollé, J.-F., Barton, I., Beggs, H., Poulter, D., Merchant, C., Bingham, A., Heinz, S., Harris, A., Wick, G., Emery, W., Minnett, P., Evans, R., Llewellyn-Jones, D., Mutlow, C., Reynolds, R., Kawamura, H. and Rayner, N., The Global Ocean Data Assimilation Experiment (GODAE) High Resolution Sea Surface Temperature Pilot Project (GHRST-PP), *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 88 (8), 1197-1213, 2007. (DOI: 10.1175/BAMS-88-8-1197)
4. GCOS Implementation Plan for the Global Observing System for Climate in Support of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, 2010 Update, GCOS – 92, (WMO/TD No. 1219), pp. 153, 2009.
5. Le Traon, P.-Y., Bell, M., Dombrowsky, E., Schiller, A., and Wilmer-Becker, K., "GODAE OceanView: from an Experiment Towards a Long-Term Ocean Analysis and Forecasting International Program", in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 2), Venice, Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.
6. Le Traon, P.-Y., and Co-Authors (2010), "Physical hindcasts and nowcasts: evolution and skill for service delivery" in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained



Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 2), Venice, Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.

7. Brassington, G., Hines, A., Dombrowsky, E., Ishizaki, S., Bub, F., and Ignaszewski, M., "Short- to Medium Range Ocean Forecast Delivery and Observational Requirements" in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 2), Venice, Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.

8. Eyre, J., Andersson, E., Charpentier, E., Ferranti, I., Lefeuvre, J., Ondráš, M., Paillex J., Rabier, F. and Riishojgaard, L.P., "Requirements of numerical weather prediction for observations of the oceans", in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 2), Venice, Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.

9. Balmaseda, M., Alves, O., Awaji, T., Behringer, D., Ferry, N., Fujii, Y., Lee, T., Rienecker, M., Rosati, A., Smith, D. & Molteni, F., "Initialization for Seasonal and Decadal Forecasts", in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 2), Venice, Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.

10. Hurrell, J., Delworth, T., Danabasoglu, G., Drange, H., Drinkwater, M., Griffies, S., Holbrook, N., Kirtman, B., Keenlyside, N., Latif, M., Marotzke, J., Murphy, J., Meehl, G., Palmer, T., Polmann, H., Rosati, A., Seager, R., Smith, D., Sutton, R., Timmermann, A., Trenberth, K., Tribbia, J. and Visbeck, M., "Decadal Climate Prediction: Opportunities and Challenges", in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 2), Venice, Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.

11. Fairall, C., Barnier, B., Berry, B., Bourassa, M., Bradley, F., Clayson, C.A., de Leeuw, G., Drennan, W., Gille, S., Gulev, S., Kent, E., McGillis, W., Ryabinin, V., Smith, S., Weller, R., Yelland, M., and Zhang, H.-M., "Observations to Quantify Air-Sea Fluxes and Their Role in Climate Variability and Predictability" in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 2), Venice, Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.

12. Gulev, S., Josey, S., Bourassa, M., Breivik, L.-A., Cronin, M., Fairall, C., Gille, S., Kent, E., Lee, C., McPhaden, M., Monteiro P., Schuster, U., Smith, S., Trenberth, K., Wallace,

D., and Woodruff, S., "Surface Energy and CO<sub>2</sub> Fluxes and Sea Ice", in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 1), Venice, Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.

13. Lee, T., Stammer, D., Awaji, T., Balmaseda, M., Behringer, D., Carton, J., Ferry, N., Fischer, A., Fukumori, I., Giese, B., Haines, K., Harrison, Heimbach, E., Kamachi, M., Keppenne, C., Köhl, A., Masina, S., Menemenlis, D., Ponte, R., Remy, E., Rienecker, M., Rosati, A., Schroeter, J., Smith, D., Weaver, A., Wunsch, C. & Xue, Y., "Ocean State Estimation for Climate Research" in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 2), Venice, Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.

14. Nerem, S., Chambers, D., Leuliette, E., Mitchum, G., Merrifield, M. & Willis, J., "Observations of Sea Level Change: What have We Learned and What are the Remaining Challenges?" in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 2), Venice, Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.

15. Donlon, C., Casey, K., Gentemann, C., Le Borgne, P., Robinson, I., Reynolds, R., Merchant, C., Llewellyn-Jones, D., Minnett, P., Piolle, J.-F., Cornillon, P., Rayner, N., Brandon, T., Vazquez, J., Armstrong, E., Beggs, H., Barton, I., Wick, G., Castro, S., Hoeyer, J., May, D., Arino, O., Poulter, D., Evans, R., Mutlow, C., Bingham, A. and Harris, A., "Successes and Challenges for the Modern Sea Surface Temperature Observing System", in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 2), Venice, Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.

16. Wilson, S., Parisot, F., Escudier, P., Fellous, J.-L., Benveniste, J., Bonekamp, H., Drinkwater, M., Fu, L., Jacobs, G., Lin, M., Lindstrom, E., Miller, L., Sharma, R., and Thouvenot, E., "The Ocean Surface Topography Constellation: The Next 15 year in Satellite Altimetry", in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 2), Venice, Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.

17. Bourassa, M., Chelton, D., Cipollini, P., Ferrari, R., Fu, L.-L., Galperin, B., Gille, S., Huang, H., Klein, P., Maximenko, N., Morrow, R., Qiu, B., Rodriguez, E., Scott, R., Stammer, D., Tailleur, R. and Wunsch, C., "Integrating satellite altimetry and key observations: what we've learned, and what's possible with new technologies" in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 2), Venice, Italy,

21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.

18. Yoder, J. A., Dowell, M., Hoepffner, N., Murakami, H. and Stuart, V., "The Ocean Colour Radiance Virtual Constellation (OCR-VC)". Community White Paper for OceanObs'09 in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 2), Venice, Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.

19. Lagerloef, G., Boutin, J., Chao, Y., Delcroix, T., Font, J., Niiler, P., Reul, N., Riser, S., Schmitt, R., Stammer, D., and Wentz, F., "Resolving the Global Surface Salinity Field and Variations by Blending Satellite and In situ Observations". in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 2), Venice, Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.

20. Breivik, L.-A., Carrieres, T., Eastwood, S., Fleming, A., Girard-Ardhuin, F., Karvonen, J., Kwok, R., Meier, W.N., Mäkynen, M., Pedersen, L. T., Sandven, S., Similä, M., and Tonboe, R., "Remote sensing of sea ice" in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 2), Venice, Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.

21. Swail, V., Jensen, R., Lee, B., Turton, J., Thomas, J., Gulev, S., Yelland, M., Etala, P., Meldrum, D., Birkemeier, W., Burnett, W., and Warren, G., "Wave Measurements needs and developments for the next decade", in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 2), Venice, Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.

22. Wilson, S., Benveniste, J., Bonekamp, H., Donlon, C., Drinkwater, M., Fellous, J.-L., Gohil, B.S., Jacobs, G., LeTraon, P.-Y., Lindstrom, L., Lin, M., Nakagawa, K., and Parisot, F., "Satellite Observing Systems and Relevance to GODAE", GODAE Final Symposium, Nice, France, 2008. (<http://www.godae.org/Invited-papers.html>)

23. Ward, S (2008). The Earth Observation Handbook – Climate Change Special Edition 2008, *ESA SP-1315*, ESA Communications Production Office, ESTEC, PO Box 299, 2200 AG Noordwijk, The Netherlands ISSN No.: 0379-6566, pp. 265.

24. Lindstrom, E., Bourassa, M., Breivik, L.-A., Donlon, C., Fu, L.-L., Hacker, P., Lagerloef, G., Lee, Y., Le Quéré, C., Swail, V., Wilson, S., Zlotnicki, V., "Research Satellite Missions", in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained

Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 1), Venice, Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.

25. Drinkwater, M., Bonekamp, H., Bontempi, P., Chapron, B., Donlon, C., Fellous, J.-L., DiGiacomo, P., Harrison, E., Le Traon, P.Y., and Wilson, S., "Status and outlook for the space component of an integrated ocean observing system", in Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society" Conference (Vol. 1), Venice, Italy, 21-25 September 2009, Hall, J., Harrison D.E. and Stammer, D., Eds., ESA Publication WPP-306, 2010.

26. Koblinksky, C. Gaspar, P. and Lagerloef, G. (eds). The Future of Spaceborne Altimetry – Oceans and Climate Change: A Long-Term Strategy. Joint Oceanographic Institutions, Inc., 85pp, 1992.

27. Escudier, P. and Fellous, J., "The Next 15 Years of Satellite Altimetry: Ocean Surface Topography Constellation" User Requirements Document. CLS.DOS/NT/09.092, 47 pp, 2009.